

The INRIA Project LAB HPC-BigData: Addressing the HPC/Big-Data/IA Convergence

Bruno Raffin, INRIA Grenoble Rhône-Alpes

Lyon, October 2018

The HPC-BigData Project Lab

An INRIA funded project (2018-2022)

Gather teams from HPC, Big Data and Machine Learning to work on the convergence

INRIA teams:

- HPC teams: DataMove, KerData, Tadaam, RealOpt, Hiepacs, Storm, Grid'5000
- IA teams (and Big Data): Zenith, Parietal, Tao, SequeL, Sierra

External partners:

- Academic: Lab Biologie Théorique (CNRS Paris)Academic: Argonne National Lab (USA)
- Industry: ATOS/Bull, ESI-group

https://project.inria.fr/hpcbigdata/

The Convergence

Three Research Directions:

- Infrastructure and resource management
- HPC acceleration for AI and Big Data
- AI/Big Data analytics for large scale scientific simulations

HPC versus BigData/Al

HPC

Big Data/Al

Parallelism for scalability

- \rightarrow Performance comes first
- → Low level programming MPI+OpenMP
- ightarrow Thin software stack
- \rightarrow Stable software libs
- \rightarrow HPC centers

Jobs run a few hours on thousands of cores:

- Sensitivity Analysis : 30 000 cores for 1h30 [Terraz'17]
- Exastamp material simulation: 8000 cores for a few hours

- \rightarrow Ease of programming comes first
- → High level programming Spark, Flink, TensorFlow, Pytorch
- \rightarrow Thick software stack
- \rightarrow Quickly changing software libs
- \rightarrow Cloud platforms

Jobs run a few days on tens of nodes:

- Pl@ntNet learning: one week on 4 GPUs
- AlphaGo Zero Itraining: 70 hours on 64 GPU workers and 19CPU parameter [Silver'17]
- ResNet-50 on 256 GPUs in 1 hour (mini-batch training) [Goyal 2017]

Some of our Software Assets



Machine Learning in Python



Light yet Flexible Batch Scheduler



Task Programming for Hybrid architectures

FlowVR, Melissa, Damaris



On-line data processing engines for HPC Deep Learning based App for plant identification



🞝 🙆 smw996

Infrastructure and Resource Management

HPC Infrastructure for AI:

New needs:

- Accelerators (GPUs or other)
- Large resident data sets (learning & benchmarks) (PlantNet: 10 TB of raw data)
- Very long runs (days)
- Fast changing software stacks (TensorFlow, PyTorch)

On-going work on AI/HPC compliant resource sharing approaches

Playground: Grid'5000, Genci experimental GPU cluster, etc.

Get data close to the compute nodes:

HPC versus Cloud platforms: External file system versus on-node disks But changing: on-node persistent storage for energy and performance (burst buffers, NVRAM): Locality aware resource management



AI/Big Data Analytics for Large Scale Scientific Simulations

Molecular dynamics trajectory analysis with deep learning:

Dimension reduction through DL

Accelerating MD simulation coupling HPC simulation and DL





Flink/Spark stream processing for in-transit on-line analysis of parallel simulation outputs [ISAV'18]



HPC for Al

Shallow Learning

Accelerating Scikit-Learn with task-based progamming (Dask, StarPU)

Deep Learning:

TensorFlow graph scheduling for efficient parallel executions:

Scheduling for automatic differentiation and backpropagation Recompute versus store frontward results

Linear algebra and tensors for large scale machine learning

Large scale parallel deep reinforcement learning:



Self-learn to play Atari games







Artificial Neural Networks



Activation function $f(x) = K(\sum_i w_i g_i(x))$

Deep Learning

Today's neural networks are deep and complex:



Hyperparameter setting has become
a very complex task -> learning
for discovering hyperparameters ?

Table 6. Classification error on the CIFAR-10 test set. All meth-
ods are with data augmentation. For ResNet-110, we run it 5 times
and show "best (mean \pm std)" as in [43].

[HE-CVPR2016]

method

Maxout [10]

NIN [25]

DSN [24]

FitNet [35]

Highway [42, 43]

Highway [42, 43]

ResNet

ResNet

ResNet

ResNet

ResNet

ResNet

layers

19

19

32

20

32

44

56

110

1202

2.3M

1.25M

0.27M

0.46M

0.66M

0.85M

1.7M

19.4M

 $7.54(7.72\pm0.16)$

6.43 (6.61±0.16)

8.80

8.75

7.51

7.17

6.97

7.93

ResNet-34

Parallelizing Deep Learning

Parameter update function

Generic learning process:



Learning Data

Model Parameters

Often the parameters updates are computed after presenting a batch of examples (batch learning)

2 main sources of parallelism:

- Data parallelism: distribute the learning set
- **Model parallelism**: distribute the model parameters

Data Parallelism



Duplicate the model (one per worker)

Partition the batch into P minibatches, one per worker



Synchronous update (TensorFlow):

Loop:

Server sends parameters to all Workers; Workers compute parameter updates on their mini-batch; Server get updates from all Workers; Server compute a global model update; Server update parameters; EndLoop

Limitations:

- Server is a bottleneck:
- gets P sets of model parameters
- minibatch size affects learning convergence

Data Parallelism

Fix the bottleneck: suppress the server and perform a all-reduce



Communication cost per worker is now independent on the number of workers

Baidu initially proposed a modified version of Tensorflow based on MPI, now available in Horvod (Uber, still Tensorflow+MPI)

Data Parallelism: Asynchronous Updates

Asynchronous Stochastic Gradient Descent:

- Each worker update asynchronously the model parameters
- Proven convergence under certain conditions [Hogwild! 2011]
- But practically convergence may be affected in such a way that it outweighs the performance gain from asynchronism.



Software 2.0

Software 1.0

- Deterministic computations with algorithms
- Computation must be correct for debugging

Software 2.0 [introduced by A. Karpathy]

- Probabilistic machine-learned models trained from data
- Computation only has to be statistically correct

Creates many opportunities for improved performance

[K. Olukotun Keynote at ISCA 2018]

Software 2.0

Relax, It's Only Machine Learning

[From K. Olukotun Keynote at ISCA 2018]

- Relax synchronization: data races are better
 - HogWild! [De Sa, Olukotun, Ré: ICML 2016, ICML Best Paper]
- Relax cache coherence: incoherence is better
 - [De Sa, Feldman, Ré, Olukotun: ISCA 2017]
- Relax communication: sparse communication is better
 - [Lin, Han et. al.: *ICLR 18*]
- Relax precision: small integers are better
 - HALP [De Sa, Aberger, et. al.]







Better hardware efficiency with negligible impact on statistical efficiency

Leverage the stochastic nature of ML for loosening data dependencies constraints and thus support better parallelization.